

GENENTECH, INC.,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
 v.)
)
 THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF)
 PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit)
 corporation,)
)
 Defendant.)

Case No.: 10-CV-02037-LHK

ORDER RE: MOTION TO SHORTEN
TIME ON MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE
ORDER OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE,
TO QUASH TRIAL SUBPOENAS

(re: dkt. # 616)

U Penn does not oppose the Court hearing the Motion for Protective Order at the May 30, 2012 Pretrial Conference, but argues that Genentech should not be allowed to file a reply brief because (1) Genentech unnecessarily delayed filing its motion, and (2) the parties have already briefed the issues identified in the Motion for Protective Order in the parties' Joint Pretrial Statement. *See* ECF No. 622 at 1.

1 The Court agrees that the issues raised in Genentech's Motion for Protective Order have
2 already been addressed, to some degree, in the Joint Pretrial Statement, and that extensive
3 additional briefing on the matter is therefore unnecessary. The Court further agrees that no reply
4 brief is necessary. Accordingly, U Penn's opposition to the Motion for Protective Order, not to
5 exceed 10 pages, is due May 25, 2012, at 5:00 p.m. Genentech may not file a reply. The Court
6 will hear Genentech's Motion for Protective Order at the Pretrial Conference on May 30, 2012, at
7 2:00 p.m.

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9
10 Dated: May 22, 2012


LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California